|  |  | Copy_2  |
|--|--|---|
|  |  | 4 November 1965   |
| MEMORANDUM F   | OR: Director of Reco   | nnaissance, CIA   |
| SUBJECT:   | NRO Managemen  | Documents   |
| observation, I have document relating is my view that the the provisions of the Hereinafter I will   | ve confined my reaction specifically to aircraft e document as propose the NRO Agreement ex  | By way of general to those portions of the it reconnaissance projects. It is essentially consistent with ecuted on 11 August 1965.  |
| character." How evident the NRP i Air Force) and CI singularly obvious Dr. Flax will reta Secretary of the Adifficult to imaginas fulfill his role | ever, as the paper is of s made up of DOD (most. A. The apparent exclusion and probably unwarration his responsibilities for Force for Research to Dr. Flax being able as DNRO without doing | scribed as being "national in leveloped it becomes increasing the particularly Department of usion of US Navy participation anted. It would appear that and functions as Assistant and Development. I find it to perform in that job as well a serious injustice to both job is expected to wear both hats, |
| then he will find i<br>him in his work a   |  | en becomes just one more lay  |

TOP SECRET

|      | Approved For Repase 2004/05/13 : CIA-RDP75B00326R 0300050011-6  |      |  |  |  |
|------|---|------|--|--|--|
| 25X1 | Page 2  | 25X1 |  |  |  |
|      |   |      |  |  |  |
|      | 4. I believe the whole topic of command relationships as they are described or delineated throughout the paper is subject to question. I do not understand how Program Directors, as stated in paragraph 6.b., can be "responsive directly and solely to the NRO for NRP matters" while at the same time, as indicated in paragraph 8.d., how Project Directors can be "responsible through his Program Director solely to the DNRO for the total conduct of his Project." In brief, if this document was intended to clarify intra-Agency and inter-agency management relationships, that objective is not accomplished.   |      |  |  |  |
|      | 5. Though not necessarily a significant observation, I do wonder why in paragraph 6. the DOD Aircraft Reconnaissance Programs Director "commands and/or controls units" whereas the CIA Reconnaissance Programs Director "supervises and/or controls elements." It would be my view that the CIA can command units as well as the DOD. I would suggest a change in the title "CIA Reconnaissance Programs Office" to CIA Aircraft and Space Reconnaissance Programs Office. In paragraph 7.c. I would substitute the phrase "reviewing plans to satisfy USIB requirements" rather than "reviewing plans for operational missions." Though the paper goes on to say Project Directors would establish specific mission flight plans, the earlier reference to reviewing plans wou tend to leave the door open for unnecessary and undesirable participation by the NBO Staff in the conduct of operations. | ld   |  |  |  |
|      | by the NRO Staff in the conduct of operations.  6. Under the description of the NRO Comptroller's functions and responsibilities, I feel the extent to which he "monitors the budgeting and expenditure of significant NRP-support funds by other agencies" should be clearly spelled out in this or some subsequent management document.   |      |  |  |  |
|      | 7. In paragraph 7.f. I find the language used in sub-paragraphs dealing with DOD Aircraft Reconnaissance and CIA Reconnaissance somewhat confusing and in need of explanation. On the one hand, it is mentioned that General Geary will have responsibility for the "conduct of DNRO-approved in-house and contractor studies for future aircraft systems" whereas the Agency would have responsibility for the "conduct  | 25X1 |  |  |  |

TOP SECRET

25X1

of an aircraft and space-oriented study, research and technology program." This inconsistent use of terminology may not be significant in that earlier sub-paragraphs in this same section (e., f., and g.), the expression "development, procurement and operations of assigned aircraft and space systems" is used consistently in each of the programs.

- 8. I would tend to question the DNRO being in a position to approve or disapprove participating agencies' selection of Project Directors and Deputy Directors. This should be the prerogative of the participating agency.
- 9. In paragraph 9., devoted to communications, I would insert "and appropriate" following the words "where available." The paragraph as proposed by the DDNRO would presume any and all Agency communications facilities would be available for support to the NRP. Obviously, this is not the case. Paragraph 9.c. should be considered wholly unacceptable. The NRO Staff cannot be placed in the role of dictating to the Agency, communications standards and procedures. As a matter of fact, the Agency's standards are generally greater and its procedures for the most part simpler than those followed in the typical military system. I would suggest that this paragraph be conditioned by inserting some statement which establishes the Agency as the final authority on standards and procedures which relate to CIA communications facilities.
- 10. In paragraph 9.d., though it is not explicit, I assume the reference to a restriction of the "NRP communications to higher authority" is confined to electronic communications. That being true, I would urge that the last sentence of this paragraph be amended to reflect the requirement for appropriate coordination with the DNRO rather than "prior and specific approval of the DNRO." Otherwise we will find the Agency very much restricted in our external liaison.

//JACK C. LEDFORD

Brigadier General, USAF Director of Special Activities

25X1

25X1

| a * * | <sup>a</sup> Approved For Releas   | se 2004/05/13 : CIA-RDP75 | B003267 0300050011-6 |      |
|-------|--|---------------------------|----------------------|------|
| 25X1  |  | TOP SECRET                |                      |      |
|       |  |                           | Page 4               | 25X1 |
| 057/4 |  |                           | ·                    |      |
| 25X1  | Distribution:  1-Addressee 2-D/SA 3-PS/OSA 4-D/TECH/OSA 5-D/FA/OSA 6-SS/OSA 7-EO Chrono 8-RB/OSA | (4 Nov 65)                |                      |      |
|       |  |                           |                      |      |
|       |  |                           |                      |      |
|       |  |                           |                      |      |
|       |  |                           |                      | 25X1 |
|       |  |                           |                      |      |

TOP SECRET